# WEAK COMPACTNESS IN $L^1(\lambda)$ AND INJECTIVE BANACH SPACES

## BY S. ARGYROS

#### ABSTRACT

The isomorphic embedding of the Banach space  $l^i(\Gamma)$  into injective Banach spaces is investigated.

#### Introduction

In the present paper we study the isomorphic structure of injective Banach spaces and in particular we prove some results concerning the following Rosenthal's problem.

PROBLEM. Let X be an injective Banach space with dim  $X = \alpha$ .

- (a) Is  $\alpha^{\omega} = \alpha$ ?
- (b) Is  $l^1\alpha$  isomorphic to a subspace of X?
- (c) Is  $X^*$  isomorphic to  $(\Sigma_{2^{\alpha}} \oplus L^1\{0,1\}^{\alpha})_1$ ?

As we have proved in [2] questions (b) and (c) are equivalent and a consequence of the results of this paper is that (b) implies (a). So what we need in the above problem is an answer about the possibility of embedding of  $l^1\alpha$  into X where dim  $X = \alpha$ . In this direction we prove in §2 (Theorem 2.8) that if an injective Banach space X contains  $l^1\alpha_n$  for a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = 1$  of cardinals, then X contains also  $l^1\alpha^{\omega}$  where  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n\}$ . A consequence of this result is an affirmative answer in Rosenthal's problem for all injective subspaces of  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  for  $\mu$  finite measure.

A basic tool for the proof of this theorem is a result (Lemma 2.1) about the structure of weakly compact subsets of  $L^{1}(\lambda)$  for some measure  $\lambda$ .

## §1. Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space and Y be a subspace of X; we say that Y is a complemented subspace of X if there is a bounded projection P from X onto Y, i.e. P is a bounded linear operator with P(y) = y for all  $y \in Y$ .

A Banach space Y is injective if whenever Y is a subspace of a Banach space X there is a bounded projection  $P: X \to Y$ .

Let  $T: X \to Y$  be a linear bounded operator between the Banach spaces X and Y. We denote by  $T^*: Y^* \to X^*$  the conjugate operator of T. A Banach space Y is isomorphic to a subspace of a Banach space X if there is a bounded linear operator  $T: Y \to X$  one-to-one with closed range.

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact space. By  $C(\Omega)$  we denote the Banach space of continuous real functions on  $\Omega$  and  $M(\Omega)$  the Banach space of regular Borel measures on  $\Omega$ . Via Riesz represent theorem we identify the  $M(\Omega)$  with  $C(\Omega)^*$ .

For a set I we denote by  $\mu_I$  the product measure which is defined on the product space  $\{0, 1\}^I$  from the family  $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$  where  $\mu_i(\{0\}) = \mu_i(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

For each  $1 \le p \le \infty$  we denote by  $L^p\{0,1\}^I$  the space  $L^p(\mu_I)$ . Let  $I \ne \emptyset$  be a set; the Walk functions  $\Pi_M$  on  $C(\{0,1\}^I)$  are defined for each finite subset M of I in the following way: for the empty set we set  $\Pi_{\emptyset}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \{0,1\}^I$ ; for a  $i \in I$  we set  $\Pi_{(i)}(x) = 1$  if x(i) = 1 and  $\Pi_{(i)}(x) = -1$  otherwise. If M is a finite subset of I we set  $\Pi_M = \prod_{i \in M} \Pi_{(i)}$ . Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$  and  $\Lambda$  be a subset of I; we denote by  $E_{\Lambda}^p: L^p\{0,1\}^I \to L^p\{0,1\}^\Lambda$  the conditional expectation projection. The operator  $E_{\Lambda}$  is alternatively described as follows: if  $\Lambda = \emptyset$  then

$$E_{\Lambda}(f) = \left(\int f d\mu\right) \Pi \varnothing;$$

if  $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$  for q such that 1/p + 1/q = 1 we set  $I_{\Lambda}: L^{q}\{0, 1\}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow L^{q}\{0, 1\}^{I}$  to be the usual embedding, then

$$E_{\Lambda} = I_{\Lambda}^* \big|_{L^p\{0,1\}^I}.$$

Given a set  $\Gamma$ ,  $c_0(\Gamma)$  denotes the Banach space of all real valued functions f defined on  $\Gamma$  such that for  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  there is a finite subset F of  $\Gamma$  with  $|f(\gamma)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus F$  with the supremum norm;  $l^1(\Gamma)$  denotes all elements of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for which  $\Sigma_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)| < \infty$  with  $||f|| = \Sigma_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|$  and  $l^{\infty}(\Gamma)$  is the space of all bounded real valued functions.

By the canonical or usual basis of  $l^1(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $c_0(\Gamma)$ ) we refer to  $\{e_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  where  $e_\gamma(\delta) = 1$  if  $\gamma = \delta$  and  $e_\gamma(\delta) = 0$  if  $\gamma \neq \delta$ . A subset  $\{b_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of a Banach space X is said to be equivalent to the canonical basis of  $l^1(\Gamma)$  if the

correspondence  $T: \{e_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\} \to \{b_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  defined by  $Te_{\gamma} = b_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be extended to an isomorphism of  $l^{1}(\Gamma)$  with the closed linear span of  $\{b_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

Let  $\{b_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a uniformly bounded family in a Banach space X; then it is equivalent to the usual basis of  $l^1(\Gamma)$  iff there is a  $\theta > 0$  such that for every finite choice  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\kappa}$  of index pairwise difference and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\kappa}$  real numbers it follows that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} r_i b_{\gamma i}\right\| \geq \theta \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |r_i|.$$

Let  $\{X_i : | i \in I\}$  be a family of Banach spaces and  $p = 0, 1, \infty$  then we denote by  $(\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus X_i)_p$  the Banach space of all functions  $x = (x_i : i \in I)$  with  $x_i \in X_i$  and the real valued function  $(||x_i|| : i \in I)$  belongs respectively to  $l^p(I)$  if p = 1, or  $\infty$  and  $c_0(I)$  if p = 0.

A compact space  $\Omega$  is called extremally disconnected if for every open U subset of  $\Omega$  the set  $\bar{U}$  (the closure of U) is also open. For a compact space  $\Omega$  we set  $S(\Omega)$  to be the smaller cardinal  $\kappa$  such that every family of non-empty open pairwise disjoint open subsets of  $\Omega$  has cardinality less than  $\kappa$ . Also, for a Banach space X we set  $\Sigma(X)$  to be the smaller cardinal  $\kappa$  such that every weakly compact subset of X has cardinality less than  $\kappa$ . It follows from a result of Rosenthal in [9] that for every compact space  $\Omega$ ,  $\Sigma(C(\Omega)) = S(\Omega)$ .

Given an infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ , its cofinality, denoted  $cf(\alpha)$ , is the least cardinal  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha$  is the cardinal sum of  $\beta$  many cardinals each smaller than  $\alpha$ . A cardinal  $\alpha$  is regular if  $\alpha = cf(\alpha)$ . The least cardinal strictly greater than  $\beta$  is denoted by  $\beta^+$ . A cardinal  $\alpha$  is successor cardinal if it is of the form  $\alpha = \beta^+$  for some cardinal  $\beta$ ; the cardinalty of the natural numbers is denoted by  $\omega$ . The cardinality of a set A is denoted by |A|.

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\alpha)$ ) the set of subsets of  $\alpha$  (resp. the set of subsets of  $\alpha$  of cardinaltiy less than  $\kappa$ ). The cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$  is denoted by  $2^{\alpha}$  and the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa^+}(\alpha)$  is denoted by  $\alpha^{\kappa}$ . If  $\alpha$ ,  $\kappa$  are cardinals, then  $\alpha$  is called strongly  $\kappa$  inaccessible if  $\beta^{\lambda} < \alpha$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\lambda < \kappa$ . If in addition  $\alpha > \kappa$  then we write  $\alpha \gg \kappa$  (or  $\kappa \ll \alpha$ ).

For set-theoretic background we refer the reader to [3].

We make use, in the following, of the next two infinite combinatoric results of Erdös-Rado and Hajnal, respectively.

1.1. THEOREM. [4]. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\kappa$  be cardinals such that  $\alpha$  is regular and  $\alpha \gg \kappa$  and  $f: \alpha \to \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\alpha)$  be a function. Then there is a set A subset of  $\alpha$  with  $|A| = \alpha$  and  $N \subset \alpha$  such that for every  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2 \in A$ ,  $f(\xi_1) \cap f(\xi_2) = N$ .

- 1.2. THEOREM. [5]. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\kappa$  be cardinals with  $\alpha > \kappa$  and  $f : \alpha \to \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\alpha)$  be a function. Then there is a set A subset of  $\alpha$  with  $|A| = \alpha$  and if  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2 \in A$ ,  $\xi_1 \not\in f(\xi_2)$ .
- §2. This section is devoted to the proof of the main result of this paper. We start with the following lemma about the structure of the weakly compact subset of  $L^{1}(\lambda)$  spaces.
- 2.1. Lemma. Let  $(S, \mathcal{Z}, \mu)$  be a space of probability measure and  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal. Let, also, K be a relatively weakly compact subset of  $L^1(\lambda)$ , with  $|K| = \alpha$ ,  $0 < ||x|| < \theta$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then there is a measure  $\lambda$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$  such that  $||\lambda|| \ge \theta$  and for each  $A \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $\lambda(A) > \varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\lambda \in K$  with  $|\lambda| = \alpha$  such that  $||x|(A) > \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \lambda$ .

PROOF. Since the set K is relatively weakly compact so is the set  $L = \{|x|: x \in K\}$ . (Indeed, this happens iff K is uniformly absolutely continuous, see Dunford Schwartz.) Now we claim that there is a measure  $\lambda$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$  such that  $|L \cap U| = |L|$  for every weak neighborhood U of  $\lambda$ ; otherwise we can find a finite set  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of elements of  $\bar{L}$  and  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that each  $U_i$  is weak neighborhood of  $\lambda_i$ ,  $|U_i \cap L| < |L|$  and  $L \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ , a contradiction. So the claim is correct and we easily verify that the measure  $\lambda$  has the desired properties. The proof is now complete.

2.2. Lemma. Let  $\alpha$  be a regular  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinal and  $\{\mu_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of finite positive measure. Assume that

$$T: \left(\sum_{\xi < \alpha} \bigoplus L^{1}_{\xi} \{0, 1\}^{\alpha}\right)_{1} \rightarrow \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bigoplus L^{1}(\mu_{\gamma})\right)_{1}$$

is an isomorphic embedding with  $||T^{-1}||^{-1} = \theta$  and ||T|| = 1. Then there is a  $\Lambda \subset \alpha$  with  $|\Lambda| = \alpha$  and a family  $\{\Delta_{\varepsilon} : \xi \in \Lambda\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that if  $\Delta = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda} \Delta_{\varepsilon}$  then

$$P_{\Delta} \circ T : \left(\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \bigoplus L^{1}\{0,1\}^{\alpha}\right)_{1} \longrightarrow \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \bigoplus L^{1}(\mu_{\gamma})\right)_{1}$$

is an isomorphic embedding with  $\|(P_{\Delta} \circ T)^{-1}\|^{-1} \ge \theta/2$  and for  $\xi_1 \ne \xi_2$  elements of  $\Lambda$  we have that

$$P_{\Delta \epsilon_1} \circ T(x) = 0$$
 for all  $x \in L^1_{\epsilon_2} \{0, 1\}^{\alpha}$ .

PROOF. For each  $\xi < \alpha$  we set  $M_{\xi}$  be the countable subset of  $\Gamma$  such that  $T(L_{\xi}^{1}\{-1,1\}^{\alpha}) \hookrightarrow (\Sigma_{\gamma \in M_{\xi}} \oplus L^{1}(\mu_{\gamma}))_{1}$ . For the family  $\{M_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}$  we apply the

Erdös-Rado  $\Delta$  systems lemma and we choose  $\Lambda_1 \subset \alpha$  with  $|\Lambda_1| = \alpha$  and  $M \subset \Gamma$  such that  $M_{\xi_1} \cap M_{\xi_2} = M$  for  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  in  $\Lambda_1$ . If  $M = \emptyset$  then setting  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$  and  $M_{\xi} = \Delta_{\xi}$  we have the desired result.

Assume now that  $M \neq \emptyset$  and for each  $\xi \in \Lambda_1$  we set  $\Delta_{\xi} = M_{\xi} - M$ . We claim that there is a most countable set  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  subset of  $\Lambda_1$  such that if  $\xi \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_2$  then for each  $x \in L^1_{\xi} \{-1, 1\}^{\alpha}$  with ||x|| = 1,  $||P_{\Delta_{\xi}} \circ T(x)|| \ge \theta/2$  holds.

In fact, assuming the contrary we choose an uncountable family  $\{\xi_{\sigma} : \sigma < \omega^{+}\}$  of elements of  $\Lambda_{1}$  and for each  $\sigma < \omega^{+}$ ,  $x_{\xi_{\sigma}} \in L^{1}_{\xi_{\sigma}} \{-1, 1\}^{\sigma}$  such that  $||x_{\xi_{\sigma}}|| = 1$  and

$$||T(x_{\varepsilon_{\sigma}})-P_{M}\circ T(x_{\varepsilon_{\sigma}})||<\frac{\theta}{2}.$$

But, since the family  $\{T(x_{\epsilon_{\sigma}}): \sigma < \omega^+\}$  is equivalent to the usual basis of  $l^1\omega^+$  with constants 1 and  $\theta$ , the same is true for the family  $\{P_M \circ T(x_{\epsilon_{\sigma}}): \sigma < \omega^+\}$  with constants 1 and  $\theta/2$ . So  $l^1(\omega^+)$  is contained isomorphically in  $(\Sigma_{\gamma \in M} \oplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma}))_1$ , a contradiction, since M is countable. So the claim is correct and setting  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_2$  we easily verify that this set satisfies the conclusion.

2.3. Lemma. Let  $\{\mu_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of finite measures and  $\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of regular  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinals such that the following holds: there is  $\theta > 0$ , a real number, such that for every  $n < \omega$  there is

$$T_n: \left(\sum_{\xi < \alpha_n} \bigoplus L^1\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n}\right)_1 \to \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_\gamma)\right)_1$$

isomorphic embedding with  $||T_n|| = 1$  and  $||T_n^{-1}||^{-1} \ge \theta$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  and a sequence  $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n : n < \omega\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that

- (i)  $\xi_n < \alpha_n$
- (ii)  $P_{\Delta_n} \circ T_n : L^1_{\xi_n}\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n} \to (\Sigma_{\gamma \in \Delta_n} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma}))_1$  is an isomorphic embedding with  $\|(P_{\Delta_n} \circ T_n)^{-1}\|^{-1} \ge \theta/2$ ,
  - (iii) if  $n_1 \neq n_2$  then  $P_{\Delta_{n_1}} \circ T_{n_1}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in T_{n_2}(L^1_{\xi_{n_2}}\{0, 1\}^{\alpha_{n_2}})$ .

PROOF. For every  $n < \omega$ , using Lemma 2.2 we choose  $\Lambda_n \subset \alpha_n$  and a family  $\{\Delta_{\xi}^n : \xi \in \Lambda_n\}$  such that  $\Delta_{\xi_1}^n \cap \Delta_{\xi_2}^n = \emptyset$  for all  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  in  $\Lambda_n$  and for  $\Delta_n = \bigcup_{\xi \in \Delta_n} \Delta_{\xi}^n$  the map

$$P_{\Delta} \circ T_n : \left( \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_n} \bigoplus L_{\xi}^{1} \{0, 1\}^{\alpha_n} \right)_{1} \to \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_n} \bigoplus L^{1}(\mu_{\gamma}) \right)_{1}$$

is an isomorphic embedding with  $\|(P_{\Delta} \circ T_n)^{-1}\|^{-1} \ge \theta/2$  and

$$P_{\Delta} \circ T_n(L^1_{\xi}\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n}) \hookrightarrow \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\xi}^n} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma})\right).$$

Inductively we choose  $\{\xi_n : n < \omega\}$  such that  $\xi_n \in \Lambda_n$  and if  $n_1 < n_2 < \omega$  then  $\Delta_{\xi_{n_1}} \cap M_{\xi_{n_2}} = \emptyset$ ,  $M_{\xi_{n_1}} \cap \Delta_{\xi_{n_2}} = \emptyset$  where  $M_{\xi_{n_1}}$  denotes the countable subset of  $\Gamma$  on which the space  $T_n(L^1_{\xi_n}\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n})$  depends.

It is easy to verify that the sequences  $\{\xi_n : n < \omega\}$ ,  $\{\Delta_{\xi_n}^n : n < \omega\}$  satisfy the conclusion and the proof is complete.

2.4. Remark. If for the previous sequence  $\{\xi_n : n < \omega\}$  we define an operator

$$T: \left(\sum_{n<\omega} \bigoplus L_{\xi_n}^1\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n}\right)_1 \to \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_\gamma)\right)_1$$

by the rule  $T(x_n : n < \omega) = \sum_{n < \omega} T_n(x_n)$ , then it is obvious that T is an isomorphism with ||T|| = 1 and  $||T^{-1}||^{-1} \ge \theta/2$ .

2.5. LEMMA. Let S be an extremally disconnected compact space and  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m, \dots : n < \omega\}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint clopen sets of S and  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  a sequence of positive measure in M(S). Then there is a subsequence  $\{U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \dots, U_{n_s}, \dots : \kappa < \omega\}$  such that  $\lambda_n(\bigcup_{\kappa=1}^{\omega} U_{n_k} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} U_{n_k}) = 0$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

PROOF. For  $A \subseteq \omega$  we denote  $W_A = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in A} U_n} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in A} U_{n_A}$ . Since S is extremally disconnected  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  implies that  $W_A \cap W_B = \emptyset$  and obviously the same holds if  $A \cap B$  is finite. Let  $\{A_{\xi} : \xi < \omega^+\}$  be an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of N. Then for each n there are at most countably many  $\xi$ 's such that  $\lambda_n(W_{A_{\xi}}) \neq 0$ . Hence there is  $\xi$  such that  $\lambda_n(W_{A_{\xi}}) = 0$  for all n.

The following result is contained in [2] (theorem 5.1).

- 2.6. THEOREM. Let  $\alpha$  be an  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinal with  $\operatorname{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$  and  $\{x_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\}$  be a family of norm one elements of  $L^{\infty}\{0, 1\}^{\alpha}$  with  $\|x_{\xi_1} x_{\xi_2}\| > \theta > 0$ . Then there is an  $A \subset \alpha$  with  $|A| = \alpha$  and a family  $\{y_n : n \in A\}$  of elements of  $L^{\infty}\{0, 1\}^{\alpha}$  such that
  - (i) for each  $n \in A$  there are  $\xi_{(n,1)}$ ,  $\xi_{(n,2)}$  such that  $y_n = x_{(\xi,1)} x_{(\xi,2)}$ .
  - (ii) if  $c_1, \dots, c_{\kappa}$  are real numbers and  $n_1 \neq \dots \neq n_{\kappa}$  are elements of A, then

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |c_i| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} c_i y_{n_i} \right\| \geq \frac{\theta}{172} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |c_i|.$$

2.7. Lemma. Let S be a compact extremally disconnected space and X a complemented subspace of C(S). Let, also,  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  be an increasing sequence of regular  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinals and  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$ . We denote by  $P: C(S) \to X$  a projection onto X and assume that there is  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$ , a sequence of clopen mutually disjoint subsets

of S,  $T:(\sum_{n=1}^{\omega} \bigoplus L^{1}\{-1,1\}^{\alpha_{n}})_{1} \to X^{*}$  isomorphic embedding  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{K_{1}, K_{2}, \dots, K_{n}, \dots : n < \omega\}$  such that

- (i)  $K_n$  is a weakly discrete subset of the unit ball of  $L^1\{0, 1\}^{\alpha_n}$  with  $|K_n| = \alpha_n$  and  $K_n \cup \{0\}$  is weakly compact,
  - (ii) for each  $n < \omega$  and  $x \in K_n$

$$|P^* \circ T(x)|(U_n) > \delta.$$

Then X has a subspace isomorphic to  $l^{\dagger}\alpha^{\omega}$ .

PROOF. For each  $n < \omega$  we choose a measure  $\lambda_n \in M_1^+(S)$  such that  $P^* \circ T(L^1\{-1,1\}^{\alpha_n})$  is contained in  $L^1(\lambda_n)$  and using Lemma 2.5 we fined  $\{U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \cdots, U_{n_n}, \cdots : \kappa < \omega\}$  such that  $\lambda_n (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} U_{n_k} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} U_{n_k}) = 0$  for all  $n < \omega$ . For simplicity we assume that this happens for the sequence  $\{U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_n, \cdots : n < \omega\}$ .

Let  $n < \omega$  fix and  $\{x_{\xi}^n : \xi < \alpha_n\}$  be a well-order onto the set  $K_n$ . Since for each  $\xi < \alpha_n$ ,  $|P^* \circ T(x_{\xi}^n)|(U_n) > \delta$ , there is an  $f_{\xi}^n$  such that

- (i)  $||f_{\varepsilon}^n|| \leq 1$ ,
- (ii) support  $(f_{\ell}^n) \subset U_n$ ,
- (iii)  $\int f_{\varepsilon}^{n} d(P^* \circ Tx_{\varepsilon}^{n}) > \delta$ .

Also, since the family  $\{x_{\ell}^n: \xi < \alpha_n\}$  is weakly discrete and has one point compactification, the element  $0 \in L^1\{-1,1\}^{\alpha_n}$  we have that for each  $\xi < \alpha_n$  the set  $\{f_{\ell}^n: \int f_{\ell}^n d(P^* \circ Tx_{\ell}^n) \neq 0\}$  is at most countable. So using Hajnal's theorem we pass to a  $\Lambda_n' \subset \Lambda_n$  with  $|\Lambda_n'| = \alpha_n$  and for  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2 \in \Lambda_n$ ,

$$\int f_{\xi_1}^n d(P^* \circ Tx_{\xi_2}^n) = 0$$

holds. Now, let  $T^*: X \to (\sum_{n=1}^{\omega} \bigoplus L^{\infty} \{-1, 1\}^{\alpha_n})_{\infty}$  be the restriction of the conjugate of T onto the space X. Also, in the rest, we denote by

$$P_n: \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\omega} \bigoplus L^{\infty}\{-1,1\}^{\alpha_n}\right)_{\infty} \to L^{\infty}\{-1,1\}^{\alpha_n}$$

the usual projection.

Using finite induction we choose a sequence  $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  such that  $|L_n| = \alpha_n$  and with the following properties:

(i)  $L_n = \{g_{\sigma}^n : \sigma < \alpha_n\}$  where

$$g_{\sigma}^{n} = f_{\xi(\sigma,1)}^{n} - f_{\xi(\sigma,2)}^{n}$$

and for  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \neq \cdots \neq \sigma_{\kappa}$  finite choice of index and  $c_1, \cdots, c_{\kappa}$  real numbers

$$2||P|| \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |c_i| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} c_i g_{\sigma_i}^{n} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{172} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |c_i|.$$

(ii) For n > 1 setting

$$I_n = \{ \xi < \alpha_n : \exists n_1 < n \text{ and } g_{\sigma}^{n_1} \in L_{n_1} \text{ such that}$$
  
 $P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_{\sigma}^{n_1}) \text{ depends on the coordinate } \xi \}$ 

it follows that

$$E_{I_n}^{\infty} \circ P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_{\sigma}^n) = 0$$
 for all  $g_{\sigma}^n \in L_n$ .

(iii) For n > 1 and  $n_1 < n$  we have that

$$P_{n_1} \circ T^* \circ P(g^n_\sigma) = 0$$
 for all  $g^n_\sigma \in l_n$ .

Let n > 1 and assume that for all  $\kappa < n$ ,  $L_{\kappa}$  has been constructed. (The case n = 1 is similar and more simple.) We consider the family

$$\{P_n \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\varepsilon}^n) : \xi \in \Lambda_n\}$$

and we observe that if  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ , then

$$||P_n \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\varepsilon_1}^n - f_{\varepsilon_2}^n)|| \ge$$

$$\ge \left| \int f_{\varepsilon_1} d(P^* \circ T(x_{\varepsilon_1}^n)) - \int f_{\varepsilon_2} d(P^* \circ T(x_{\varepsilon_1}^n)) \right| > \delta.$$

Also, since  $\alpha_n > \sum_{k < n} \alpha_k$  and  $\alpha_n$  is regular  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinal there is a  $\Lambda'_n \subset \Lambda_n$  such that  $|\Lambda'_n| = |\Lambda_n|$  and for  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Lambda'_n$ ,  $\kappa < n$  the following hold:

- (a)  $P_{\kappa} \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\xi_1}^n) = P_{\kappa} \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\xi_2}^n),$
- (b)  $E_{I_n}^{\infty} \circ P_n \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\xi_1}^n) = E_{\xi_n}^{\infty} \circ P_n \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\xi_2}^n).$

For the family  $\{P_n \circ T^* \circ P(f_{\ell}^n) : \xi \in \Lambda_n'\}$  we apply Theorem 2.5 and we choose a set  $L_n$  such that  $|L_n| = \alpha_n$  and  $L_n$  satisfies the inductive assumption (i). From (a) and (b) it follows that  $L_n$  satisfies, also, assumptions (ii) and (iii) and so the inductive construction is complete.

Let  $\{A_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha^{\omega}\}$  be a subset of the set  $\prod_{n<\omega} L_n$  such that for  $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \alpha^{\omega}$ ,  $|\{n < \omega: A_{\xi_1}(n) = A_{\xi_2}(n)\}| < \omega$ .

Each  $A_{\varepsilon}$  has the form

$$\{g_1^{\xi}, \dots, g_n^{\xi}, \dots : n < \omega\}$$

where  $g_n^{\ell}$  is supported by the clopen set  $U_n$ . We set  $g_{\ell}$  to be the usual extension of the above sequence to an element of C(S) such that  $g_{\ell}(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n}$ . We claim that the family  $\{P_{g_{\ell}} : \xi < \alpha^{\omega}\}$  is equivalent to the usual  $l^1 \alpha^{\omega}$  base. In order to prove this we remark first the following auxiliaries:

(a) For  $n < \omega$  and  $\xi < \alpha^{\omega}$ , denoting  $g^{\xi}(n) = g_{\xi} | S \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$  we have that

$$P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g^{\epsilon}(n)) = 0.$$

In fact, let  $\mu \in L^1\{0,1\}^{\alpha_n}$ . Then

$$\int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g^{\epsilon}(n)) d\mu = \int g^{\epsilon}(n) dP^* \circ T(\mu)$$

$$= \int g^{\epsilon}(n) d\mu_1 + \int g^{\epsilon}(n) d\mu_2$$

where  $\mu_1 = P^* \circ T(\mu) |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\omega} U_i$  and  $\mu_2 = P^* \circ T(\mu) |S \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\omega} U_i$  and since  $P^* \circ T(\mu)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\lambda_n$  and  $g^{\ell}(n) |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\omega} U_i = 0$  we have that

$$\int g^{\varepsilon}(n)d\mu_2=0.$$

Also, from the regularity of  $\mu_1$  we have that

$$\int g^{\epsilon}(n)d\mu_{1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int \sum_{j=n+1}^{\kappa} g_{j}^{\epsilon}d\mu_{1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=n+1}^{k} \int g_{j}^{\epsilon}d\mu_{1}$$

but

$$\int g_j^{\varepsilon} d\mu_1 = \int g_j^{\varepsilon} d(P^* \circ T(\mu)) = \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_j^{\varepsilon}) d\mu = 0.$$

The last equality holds from the inductive assumption (iii).

(b) If  $x = \alpha_1 \Pi_{M_1} + \cdots + \alpha_n \Pi_{M_n}$  is an element of  $L^1 \{-1, 1\}^I$  and  $J \subset I$ , then if we set

$$y = \sum \{\alpha_i \prod_{M_i} : M_i \not\subset J\},\,$$

 $||y|| \le 2||x||$  holds. In fact, if

$$E_i^1: L^1\{-1,1\}^I \to L^1\{-1,1\}^I$$

is the conditional expectation, then  $y = (I - E_J^1)(x)$  and so

$$||y|| \le ||I - E_J^1|| \cdot ||x|| \le 2||x||.$$

In order to prove that the family  $\{P_{s_{\xi}}: \xi < \alpha^{\omega}\}$  is equivalent to the usual base of  $l^{1}\alpha^{\omega}$  it is enough to prove that the family  $\{T^{*}\circ P(g_{\xi}): \xi < \alpha^{\omega}\}$  has this property.

Let  $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{\kappa} < \alpha^{\omega}$  and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\kappa}$  be given real numbers, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $n < \omega$  such that  $\{g_i^{\varepsilon_i}: j = 1, \dots, \kappa\}$  are pairwise different and so there is a  $x \in L^1\{-1, 1\}^{\alpha_n}$  such that  $\|x\| \le 1$ ,  $x = \alpha_1 \Pi_{M_1} + \dots + \alpha_{\lambda} \Pi_{M_{\lambda}}$  and

$$\left|\int \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} r_i E_n^{\infty} \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\epsilon_i}) dx \right| \ge \frac{\delta}{172} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |r_i| - \varepsilon.$$

We set  $y = \sum \{\alpha_i \prod_{M_i} : M_i \not\subset I_n\}$ . Then by inductive assumption (ii) we have that

$$\int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\xi_j}) dx = \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\xi_j}) dy \qquad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa.$$

Also,  $g_{\xi_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\xi_i} + g_i^{\xi_i}(n)$ , so using the previous (a) and (b) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} r_j T^* \circ P(g_{\xi_j}) \right\| \ge \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} r_j \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_i^{\xi_j}\right) dy \right|$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} r_j \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\xi_j}) dy + \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} r_j \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\xi_j}) dy \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} r_j \int P_n \circ T^* \circ P(g_n^{\xi_j}) dy \right| \ge \frac{\delta}{354} \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \left| r_j \right| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and the proof is complete.

We need, also, the following easy result of the cardinals arithmetic.

2.8. Lemma. Let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal such that  $\alpha > 2^{\omega}$  and  $\alpha^{\omega} > \alpha$ . Then there is a cardinal  $\beta$  such that  $\beta$  is  $\omega^+$  inaccessible,  $\operatorname{cf}(\beta) = \omega$  and  $\beta^{\omega} = \alpha^{\omega}$ .

PROOF. We set  $\beta = \min\{\gamma : \gamma \le \alpha, \gamma^{\omega} \ge \alpha\}$ . Since  $\alpha > 2^{\omega}$  it follows that  $\beta > 2^{\omega}$  and  $\beta$  is  $\omega^+$  inaccessible, and we easily verify that  $\mathrm{cf}(\beta) = \omega$ .

2.9. THEOREM. Let X be an injective Banach space and  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  be a sequence of cardinals such that  $l^1\alpha_n$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X for all  $n < \omega$ . Then setting  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$ , X contains isomorphically a copy of the space  $l^1\alpha^\omega$ .

PROOF. If  $\alpha \le 2^{\omega}$  then the result follows from Rosenthal's theorem, that  $l^{\infty}\omega$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X[10].

Also, if  $\alpha^{\omega} = \alpha$  then there is a  $n_0$  such that  $\alpha_{n_0} = \alpha$ . So we assume that  $\alpha > 2^{\omega}$  and  $\alpha^{\omega} > \alpha$ ; and from Lemma 2.8 we can consider that  $\alpha$  is  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinal and  $\mathrm{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ . Let  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of regular  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinals with  $\sup_{n < \omega} \beta_n = \alpha$ . Since  $\beta_n < \alpha$  we have that  $l^1\beta_n$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X. Furthermore, from [6], see also [7] theorem 2.e.3, it follows that  $l^1\beta_n$  is  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to a subspace of X for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So from [8] there is an isomorphism

$$T_n: \left(\sum_{\xi<\alpha_n} \bigoplus L^1_{\xi}\{0,1\}^{\beta_n}\right)_1 \to X^*$$

with ||T|| = 1 and  $||T^{-1}||^{-1} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let S be an extremally disconnected compact space such that X is a complemented subspace of C(S) and P be a projection onto X. Let, also,  $\{\mu_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of finite positive pairwise singular measures in  $M^1(S)$  such that

$$M(S) = \left(\sum \bigoplus L^{1}(\mu_{\gamma})\right)_{1}.$$

For the family of operators

$$P^* \circ T_n : \left( \sum_{\xi < \alpha_n} \bigoplus L^1_{\xi} \{0, 1\}^{\beta_n} \right)_1 \to \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma}) \right)_1$$

we apply Lemma 2.3 and we find sequences  $\{\xi_n : n < \omega\}$ ,  $\{\Delta_n : n < \omega\}$  such that the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 be satisfied. So if we set  $T = \sum_{n < \omega} \bigoplus T_n$ ,  $\Delta = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \Delta_n$  then the operator

$$P_{\Delta} \circ P^* \circ T : \left( \sum \bigoplus L_{\xi_n}^1 \{0, 1\}^{\beta_n} \right)_1 \longrightarrow \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma}) \right)_1$$

is an isomorphism and for every  $n < \omega$ 

$$P_{\Delta} \circ P^* \circ T(L_{\varepsilon_n}^1\{0,1\}^{\beta_n}) \hookrightarrow \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_n} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma})\right)_1.$$

Let  $K_n = \{\Pi_M : M \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\beta_n)\}$  be the weakly discrete subset of  $L^1\{0, 1\}^{\beta_n}$  and since  $K_n \cup \{0\}$  is weakly compact, it follows that there is a measure  $\lambda_n \in (\Sigma_{\gamma \in \Delta_n} \bigoplus L^1(\mu_{\gamma}))_1$  such that the set

$$\{P_{\Delta} \circ P^* \circ T(\Pi_M) : M \in \mathscr{P}_{\omega}(\beta_n)\}$$

and the measure  $\lambda_n$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.1. Since the sequence  $\{\lambda_n : n < \omega\}$  is equivalent to the usual base of  $l^1\omega$  from Grothendick's theorem, there is a sequence  $\{U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \dots, U_{n_\kappa} : \kappa < \omega\}$  of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of S and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\lambda_{n_\kappa}(U_{n_\kappa}) > \delta$ .

Applying now Lemma 2.1 for every  $\kappa < \omega$  we find  $K'_{n_{\kappa}} \subset K_{n_{\kappa}}$  with  $|K'_{n_{\kappa}}| = \beta_n$  such that for every  $\Pi_M \in K'_{n_{\kappa}}$ 

$$|P^* \circ T(\Pi_M)|(U_{n_\kappa}) > \delta.$$

So from Lemma 2.7 it follows that  $l^1\beta^{\omega}$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X and the proof of the theorem is complete.

An immediate consequence of Theorems 2.5 and 2.8 it the following.

2.10. COROLLARY. Let X be an injective subspace of  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  for some finite measure  $\mu$  with dim  $X = \alpha$ . Then  $l^{\perp}\alpha$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X.

PROOF. If dim  $X = 2^{\omega}$  then the result follows from the isomorphic embedding of  $l^{\infty}\omega$ .

If dim  $X = \alpha > 2^{\omega}$  then either  $\alpha$  is  $\omega^+$  inaccessible cardinal, or there is a cardinal  $\beta$ ,  $\omega^+$  inaccessible with cf( $\beta$ ) =  $\omega$  and  $\beta^{\omega} \ge \alpha$ . So applying Theorems 2.6 and 2.9 we get the desired result.

Also, from Theorem 2.8 follows the next

- 2.11. COROLLARY. If X is an injective Banach space with dim  $X = \alpha$  and  $l^{\dagger}\alpha$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X then  $\alpha^{\omega} = \alpha$ .
- 2.12. REMARK. From the last corollary it follows that in problem 7 of [9] (b) implies (a). In [1] we have also proved question (a) under the G.C.H. Finally in [2] we have proved the equivalence of questions (b) and (c).
- 2.13. REMARK. What we need for the proof of Theorem 2.8 is that the space X is a quotient space of a space C(S) with S  $\omega$ -complete space (i.e. there is a basis for the topology of S such that if  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  are basic open sets, then  $\overline{\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n}$  is also open).
- 2.14. REMARK. In the case where X is isomorphic to the space C(S) for S an extremally disconnected compact space, there is a complete affirmative answer in Rosenthal's problem. In fact, recently Balcar has proved that every such space S can be mapped continuously onto the space  $\{0,1\}^{w(S)}$  and so  $l^1\alpha$  is isomorphic to a subspace of C(S) where  $\alpha = w(S)$ .

For an arbitrary injective Banach space X with dim  $X = \alpha$  we know that  $l^1\alpha$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X, if X is isomorphic to a conjugate Banach space  $Y^*$ . The proof of this result will appear elsewhere.

In the following theorem we summarize all the results which we know concerning the possibility of embedding  $l^1\alpha$  into an injective Banach space X where dim  $X = \alpha$ .

- 2.15. THEOREM. Let X be an injective Banach space with dim  $X = \alpha$ . If X has one of the following properties, then  $l^1\alpha$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X.
  - (a) X is isomorphic to C(S) for some S extremally disconnected compact space.
  - (b) Cardinals  $\alpha$  and  $cf(\alpha)$  are  $\Sigma(X)$  inaccessible.
- (c) There is a bounded linear operator  $T: X \to L^{\infty}(\mu)$  with  $\mu$  finite measure and  $(\dim T(X))^{\omega} \ge \alpha$ .
- (d) There is a sequence  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \dots : n < \omega\}$  of cardinals such that  $l^1\beta_n$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X and  $\prod_{n<\omega}\beta_n \ge \alpha$ .

- (e) X is isomorphic to a conjugate Banach space Y\*.
- (f) There is a weakly compact K, subset of  $X^*$ , with  $(\text{dens. } K)^{\omega} \ge \alpha$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for the simplifications of the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5.

### REFERENCES

- 1. S. Argyros, On dimension of injective Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 267-268.
  - 2. S. Argyros, On non-separable Banach spaces, to appear.
- 3. W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, Band 211, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- 4. P. Erdös and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 85-90; J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 467-479.
  - 5. A. Hajnal, Proof of a conjecture of S. Ruziewicz, Fund. math. 50 (1961), 123-128.
  - 6. R. C. James, Uniformly non-square Banach spaces, Ann. of Math. 80 (1964), 542-550.
  - 7. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
  - 8. A. Pelczynski, On Banach spaces containing  $L^1(\mu)$ , Studia Math. 30 (1968), 231-246.
- 9. H. P. Rosenthal, On injective Banach spaces and the spaces  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  for finite measures  $\mu$ , Acta Math. 127 (1970), 205–248.
- 10. H. P. Rosenthal, On relatively disjoint families of measures with some applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math. 37 (1970), 13-36.

CHAIR I. OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS ATHENS UNIVERSITY ATHENS 621, GREECE